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## Abstract

Using the FFEMMAG code, the injection and extraction orbits for the EMMA ring at a variety of injection and extraction energies together with the Twiss parameters to be used for matching have been calculated. The orbits include two kickers together with a septum at both injection and extraction. The FFEMMAG code has been used in conjunction with several scripts so as to be able to scan the parameter space of the two kicker strengths for a section of the EMMA ring. The results confirm the choice of magnet and vacuum pipe apertures as being adequate to operate EMMA from 10 to 20 MeV .

## INTRODUCTION

In a FFAG accelerator the beam spirals from an orbit located to the inside of a reference curve (chosen arbitrarily) to an external orbit, so that every turn it occupies different intermediate orbits. Each orbit corresponds to a radial displacement and to a prescribed beam momentum.
There are several disadvantages of the original scaling FFAG design among which are the large aperture requirements and the relatively complicated magnets. The required aperture in the non-scaling FFAG accelerator is significantly smaller. The transverse magnetic field in the alternating gradient magnets (focusing and defocusing quadrupoles) is linear so that particles with different momenta follow orbits oscillating around the ring.

The non-scaling FFAG is a novel accelerator concept and a project of EMMA (Electron Machine for Many Applications) to demonstrate its feasibility has been recently proposed [1]. It is also designed as the scale-down model of a muon accelerator for neutrino factory. The machine is to be built in the ALICE (Accelerators and Lasers In Combined Experiments) hall of Daresbury Laboratory in the UK and ALICE itself is intended to be used as the injector.

This paper addresses some issues of beam dynamics, injection and extraction details of the EMMA ring utilizing the FFEMMAG computer code, which has been developed recently at Daresbury Laboratory.

## REFERENCE TRAJECTORY AND INJECTION REQUIREMENTS

It has been shown [2] that the equations for the reference orbit can be obtained from the Hamiltonian
$H_{e}\left(X_{e}, P_{e} ; s\right)=-\sqrt{\beta_{e}^{2} \gamma_{e}^{2}-P_{e}^{2}}+\frac{e}{p_{0}} \int \mathrm{~d} X_{e} B_{z}\left(X_{e} ; s\right)$.
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Table 1: Requirements for the injection and extraction system of the EMMA ring

| Specification | Value |
| :--- | :---: |
| Injection energy | $10-20 \mathrm{MeV}$ (variable) |
| Extraction energy | $10-20 \mathrm{MeV}$ (variable) |
| Acceptance | $3 \pi \mathrm{~mm}$ rad (normalized) <br> with painting allowance |
| Range of tune variation | $14.47-5.30$ (Horizontal) |
| Kicker rise time | 30 ns (beam evolution period <br> of approximately $55.45 \mathrm{ns)}$ |

natural coordinate system associated with a particle trajectory (reference orbit) for a fixed momentum/energy. Therefore, finding the reference orbit is an important task and can be considered as the initial stage of the design of the EMMA ring. The formalism allows to further single out by orders of magnitude various linear and nonlinear contributions to the particle motion by a sequence of properly chosen canonical transformations.


Figure 1: Typical reference trajectory for energies in the range $10-15 \mathrm{MeV}$ for two 0.394481 meter long EMMA cells.


Figure 2: Typical reference trajectory for energies in the range $15-20 \mathrm{MeV}$ for two 0.394481 meter long EMMA cells.

The first step of the method comprises in the calculation of the reference orbit. For a given energy, it must be a pe-
riodic function of the particle location along the circumference of the ring with periodicity equal to the length of one DOFO (or respectively FODO, depending on which one has been chosen as a basic lattice configuration) cell. To find the reference trajectory, the exact relativistic equations of motion following from (1) are integrated numerically by imposing periodic boundary conditions, which assures the periodicity of the solution thus obtained. Typical reference trajectories in the $10-20 \mathrm{MeV}$ energy range are shown in Figures 1 and 2.

Having determined the reference trajectory, one can adjust the optimal strengths of the injection and extraction kickers for a variety of impact parameters (orbit location and angle with respect to the reference coordinate system) after/before the septum magnet. The FFEMMAG code includes a block which performs these calculations in detail. Some good and practically feasible cases of reasonable physical apertures and kicker strengths are shown in Figures 3 and 4.


Figure 3: Typical injection trajectory for energies in the range $10-15 \mathrm{MeV}$ at suitable kicker strengths.


Figure 4: Typical injection trajectory for energies in the range $15-20 \mathrm{MeV}$ at suitable kicker strengths.

The next step includes the definition of dispersion to arbitrary order in terms of powers of the energy deviation. For a particle with an accurately specified design momentum, the position vector is precisely given by the reference trajectory plus betatron oscillations (linear and nonlinear) superimposed. The latter comprise the transverse
phase space. For off-momentum particles additional terms in the horizontal component of the position vector appear, which are proportional to the successive powers of the deviation of the actual energy with respect to the design energy. These terms specify the dispersion functions to all orders. The FFEMMAG code calculates the zero-order (conventional) dispersion function and the first-order dispersion function. These are used later to compute the corresponding phase slip coefficients characterizing the acceleration.


Figure 5: Horizontal betatron tune as a function of energy.


Figure 6: Vertical betatron tune as a function of energy.
Further, the dynamics of the Twiss parameters describing the betatron motion have been worked out in detail. These crucially depend on the energy and on the characteristic shape of the reference trajectory. The FFEMMAG code contains routines, which calculate the horizontal and vertical Twiss parameters (alpha and beta function) for all intermediate energies from injection to extraction as well as the corresponding horizontal and vertical betatron tunes. The dependence of the horizontal and vertical betatron tunes on the energy is shown in Figures 5 and 6.

The path length in an FFAG arc is often well approximated as a quadratic function of energy (see Figure 7 for an example obtained using the FFEMMAG programme). A novel issue which has no analogue in other cyclic accelerators is the acceleration process. Since an FFAG lattice is in principle highly dispersive, higher orders of phase slippage must be taken into account. The acceleration process


Figure 7: Path length as a function of energy for a single 0.394481 meter EMMA cell.


Figure 8: Time of flight as a function of energy for a single 0.394481 meter EMMA cell.
is described by a longitudinal Hamiltonian, which contains terms proportional to the zero-order (conventional phase slip factor) and first-order phase slip factor. It usually suffices to take into account only terms to second order in the energy deviation as suggested by Figure 8.

## CONCLUDING REMARKS

The FFAG accelerator EMMA aims to demonstrate the feasibility of the non scaling FFAG concept. Due to the diversity of tasks to be fulfilled during its future commissioning, the injection system must be flexible enough and able to handle a broad variety of injection conditions. To investigate the practicability of the injection and extraction system, a tracking study using FFEMMAG has been carried out. After a brief description of the programme some results concerning the beam dynamics and injection/extraction matching have been shown. These results indicate that the latter is feasible within reasonable aperture and strength specifications of septa and kicker magnets.

## REFERENCES

[1] CONFORM website, http//www.conform.ac.uk
[2] B.D. Muratori, S.L. Smith and S.I. Tzenov, These Proceedings.

